

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1430 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,008	10/16/2	2003	Michael J. Johannes	P06431US00	7403
22885	7590	08/24/2004		EXAMINER	
•	OORHEES &	HUYNH,	HUYNH, KHOA D		
801 GRANI SUITE 3200	:		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DES MOINES, IA 50309-2721				3751	
				DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Λ Λ Λ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/687,008	JOHANNES, MICHAEL J. V				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Khoa D. Huynh	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) or will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16						
·	nis action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	Lx parte Quayre, 1905 C.D. 11,	400 O.G. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a content of the drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the second or declaration is objected to by the Examiration is objected to be a controlled to by the Examiration is objected to be a controlled to be a controlled to be a controlled	ccepted or b) objected to by the deduction of the drawing of the d	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica fority documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation Noved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/16/03.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail  5) Notice of Informal  6) Other:	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3751

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objection

1. Claim 5 is objected to because the recitation "the lagoon basin" should read --the pool basin--. Correction is suggested.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 8-14, as presently understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gustafsson (4028750) in view of Chamness (5546615).

Regarding claim 11, the Gustafsson reference discloses a cover for an outdoor swimming pool. The cover includes a plurality of panels (12), each having an upper layer (about 21), a lower layer (about 10) and a cell (13) sandwiched between the upper and the lower layers. Each of the panels also has a lateral layer (the extending portion of 10 and 21), and each of the lateral layers has an upper surface and a lower surface. As schematically shown in Figure 6, each of the plurality of panels has pairs of the lateral layers thereof welded to one another (col. 3, line 53) to form a singular blanket. The cover also

Application/Control Number: 10/687,008

Art Unit: 3751

includes a plurality of holes (15 or 15a) formed in the lateral layers for permitting water to drain through the holes from the above the blanket. It is obviously that these holes can also permit gases to rise from the fluid within the pool upwardly through the holes to above the blanket.

All functional implications and statements of intended use have been fully considered. They, however, are deemed not to impose any structural limitations distinguishable over the Gustafsson cover which is capable of being used to cover a lagoon having a pool basin as recited in the preamble.

Even though the Gustafsson reference remains silent as to whether or not the cell is an insulation material; attention, however, is directed to the Chamness reference which discloses a cover for a pool having a plurality of panels (20), each having an upper layer (about 22), a lower layer (about 24) and an insulation material (34) sandwiched between the upper and the lower layers. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Gustafsson cover by employing an insulation material (if not already), in view of Chamness, in order to provide a cover that can reduce loss of heat from the pool water through thermal radiation.

Regarding claims 12-14, as schematically shown in Figure 6, the pairs of lateral layers are welded to one another in an overlapping relationship that includes the upper surface of one of the lateral layers contacted with the lower surface of the other of the lateral layers.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,008

Art Unit: 3751

Regarding claims 1-5 and 8-10, the method as claimed would be inherent during the normal use and operation of the modified Gustafsson cover.

4. Claims 6, 7, 15 and 16, as presently understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified Gustafsson (as discussed above) in view of Morgan (5400549).

Regarding claims 15 and 16, the modified Gustafsson reference DIFFERS in that it does not specifically disclose that the cover is anchored in a trench by it edge as claimed. Attention, however, is directed to the Morgan reference which discloses an insulated pond cover that is secured by an anchoring trench (col. 1, lines 10-11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the modified Gustafsson cover by anchoring it in a trench, in view of the teaching of Morgan, to provide a reliable anchoring mechanism that is capable of holding the cover in place.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, the method as claimed would be inherent during the normal use and operation of the modified Gustafsson cover.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Aine was cited to show an insulated swimming pool cover having a plurality of panel connected together by heat sealing or RF sealing. Brown was cited to show a pool cover that comprises a single panel or a plurality of interconnected panels. Dial et al. was cited to show a cover that is secured by anchoring bolt in a trench.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,008

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khoa D. Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 306-5483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (703) 308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khoa D. Huynh Patent Examiner Art Unit 3751

HK 08/22/04